

Evaluation of the acceptability and perceived impact of the Clinical Nurse Specialist (Diabetes Integrated Care) service among health professionals in primary and secondary care.

Katie Murphy¹, Jake Murphy¹, Sheena McHugh², Fiona Riordan², Colin Bradley¹

¹Department of General Practice, University College Cork

²School of Public Health, University College Cork

Introduction

- ❖ To meet the increasing health care needs of people with diabetes, many healthcare professionals roles are being redefined(1).
- ❖ The introduction of integrated models of care has resulted in the development of the Clinical Nurse Specialist (Diabetes Integrated Care) role, involving coordination and education of other care-providers, insulin titration and prescribing(2) and education of people with diabetes in primary care (3,4).
- *The CNS plays a central role in the reorientation of Type 2 diabetes care from secondary care to primary care.

Aim

To evaluate the acceptability and perceived impact of the DNS service among health professionals in primary and secondary care.

Methods

Design: A qualitative study was conducted involving focus groups and one-to-one semi-structured interviews.

Sample: A purposive sample was selected from practices enrolled in the Diabetes in General Practice Initiative (DIGP) with access to CNS (Diabetes) service or on the basis of working with the CNS in secondary care. Focus groups were conducted with Practice Nurses (PNs, N=10) and General Practitioners (GPs, N=10). Semi-structured interviews were conducted with a CNS Diabetes(N=1), Consultant Endocrinologist (N=1), and Advanced Nurse practitioner (N=1).

Data Collection: Topic guides for interviews and focus groups addressed the following topics in relation to CNS service: referral process, communication, pathways for information, role, impact on patient care, education, strengths/weaknesses and ways to improve the service.

Analysis: Following transcription, the data was analysed using a Framework approach. Codes were generated based on the predetermined topics and also inductively from the data collected.

Results

Two focus groups and three semi-structured interviews were conducted (see Figure 1).

- * Participants valued the flexibility of the CNS service; although formal referral criteria existed, more often GPs made a judgement on a case-by-case basis.
- The CNS served as a link between primary and secondary care. In the absence of shared patient information systems, and formal integrated care the CNS has increased communication across the care settings.
- The CNS acted as an expert, observable example of the skills required for diabetes management. CNS services enabled efficient prescribing and case management which has resulted in upskilling primary care to manage more complex care in the Community.
- *Participants in primary care felt they had insufficient time for comprehensive diabetes management and couldn't commit significant resources to one condition. The participants highlighted their increasing reliance on the CNS service.

Figure 1: Acceptability & Perceived Impact

Valuing Flexibility

• 'I think it's very hard to put down referral criteria because you have so many of them, I mean you have the parameters of care, you also have motivations, willingness to attend, likelihood of benefits, and they mightn't be exactly.... you know someone with a HbA1c that's not too bad might be a better candidate to attend than someone who's HbA1c is worse but isn't going to attend or likely to follow through with any suggestions that might be made, you know.' (GP)

Improved
Communication
between Primary
and Secondary
Care

• "she spends one day with us, and also sees our patients in the community so if there's any issues or if she feels they need discussion we discuss it, or we might give them a sooner OPD appointment or they'd been seen in the diabetes centre" (ANP Secondary Care) So I think it's a benefit to the CNS, and a benefit to us, it's just good to have that link with the community service. (Cons. Endocrinologist)

Supporting Primary care education and providing efficient expert input

• 'I think that we wouldn't have a diabetic service in primary care were it not for the CNS. Since the CNS came on board how much more we can do, because it was a basic service.... We just couldn't do it'. (Practice Nurse)

'15 years ago I referred all my diabetics to hospital because I was unskilled.... DiGP has skilled me up.' .' (GP)

Relieving pressure in primary care

 'It's a time factor think, and it's a continuous battle, ongoing, relentless, to get the weight down, so [the CNS is] very much part of that army that keeps things going'. (GP) We are really going to be stretched so much, that I think the role of the CNS will even be more important going forward. Our time is going to be restricted to other areas, asthma care for example.' (PN)

Conclusion

Participants valued flexible and continuous access to expertise, education and coordination across settings. There is a high degree of trust and confidence in the CNS (Diabetes) service. This is vital given that this is a relatively new role in Ireland, the constantly changing landscape of diabetes management and increasing reliance on the CNS service. Increases in CNS provision and independent prescribing are desirable in community settings.

References

- 1. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes care. 2004 May 1;27(5):1047-53.
- 2. Vrijhoef HJ, Diederiks JP, Spreeuwenberg C, Wolffenbuttel BH, Van Wilderen LJ. The nurse specialist as main care-provider for patients with type 2 diabetes in a primary care setting: effects on patient outcomes. International journal of nursing studies. 2002 May 1;39(4):441-51.
- 3. Ubink-Veltmaat LJ, Bilo HJ, Groenier KH, Rischen RO, Meyboom-de Jong B. Shared care with task delegation to nurses for type 2 diabetes: prospective observational study. Neth J Med. 2005 Mar 1;63(3):103-10.
- 4. Smith S, Bury G, O'leary M, Shannon W, Tynan A, Staines A, Thompson C. The North Dublin randomized controlled trial of structured diabetes shared care. Family Practice. 2004 Feb 1;21(1):39-45





