



Mechanisms and predictors of ecological change in managed forests: A selection of papers from the second international conference on biodiversity in forest ecosystems and landscapes



Anne Oxbrough^{a,*}, Sandra Irwin^b, Mark Wilson^b, John O'Halloran^b

^a Department of Biology, Biosciences Building, Edge Hill University, Ormskirk, Lancashire, L39 4QP, UK

^b School of Biological Earth and Environmental Sciences, Distillery Fields, North Mall, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Available online 15 October 2013

Keywords:

Forest ecosystems
Biodiversity conservation
Sustainable forest management
Conference
Landscape ecology

ABSTRACT

Maintaining biodiversity is a key goal of global forest policy which promotes ecosystem health and resilience in the face of changing land use and climate. Sustainable management of forest ecosystems is essential to the social and economic services that forests provide, is an important component of the environmental policies of national governments, and is a specific focus of the Conventional on Biological Diversity. Sustainable forest management supports the maintenance and enhancement of biodiversity, and relies on evidence based research to underpin associated policies and practices. Studies that take a focussed approach are particularly helpful in this regard where they identify mechanisms of ecological change in forest habitats, and predictors appropriate to determining the impact of management practices. Observational research can suggest likely mechanisms for ecological change, which can be tested and confirmed through experimental research. Predictors based on long-term research, on the mechanisms underlying ecological relationships, or on modelling approaches can be used to infer information about existing forests and to forecast future trends. This special issue presents a selection of papers which were first presented at the second international IUFRO conference on biodiversity in forest ecosystems and landscapes at University College Cork, Ireland in August 2012. The aim of this conference series is to 'share knowledge, discuss new trends, reflect on future directions in biodiversity management for sustainable forestry, and provide a stronger scientific basis for biodiversity management in forest landscapes in the light of climate change'. The selected papers exemplify the use of observational and experimental approaches to identify mechanisms of ecological change in forests, and the use of indicators to predict current and future patterns of biodiversity. Trends in forest biodiversity were examined and discussed, drawing on what we know about forests to reconstruct ancient forested landscapes and to identify strategies for the management of forests into the future.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Forests provide economic, social and environmental services, contributing significantly to human health and wellbeing (Ojea et al., 2010). Increasing pressure on forests for the provision of ecosystem services, as well as challenges presented by ongoing changes in land use and climate, require forest policies across the globe to prioritise forest ecosystem health and resilience (Yoshikawa et al., 2011; Schaich and Milad, 2013). Both of these ecosystem attributes are underpinned by biological diversity in forests (Thompson et al., 2009), which contributes to essential ecosystem functions such as nutrient cycling, water cycle, carbon

cycle, soil stability and the provision of habitat for species. The importance of forest biodiversity is reflected in the policies of most national governments and at an international level biodiversity maintenance and enhancement is highlighted by the Conventional on Biological Diversity.

Globally, forests are becoming progressively modified and fragmented, leading to biodiversity loss (Foley et al., 2005). As a result, research and policy aimed at achieving sustainable management of forests place an increasing emphasis on 'naturalness', and in particular on the biodiversity supported by 'old growth' forest conditions (Winter, 2012). However, in many regions where natural forest cover is low, the role that highly modified forests (including plantations) can play in supporting biodiversity is critically important (Bremer and Farley, 2010; Quine and Humphrey, 2010). At 11%, Ireland's forest cover is lower than that of most other European

* Corresponding author Tel.: +44 (0) 1695

E-mail address: anne.oxbrough@edgehill.ac.uk (A. Oxbrough).

countries (Cross, 2012) and is dominated by plantation forests (ITGA, 2012). Research has shown that plantations in Ireland can provide habitat for forest specialists of ground-dwelling spiders and beetles (Mullen et al., 2008; Oxbrough et al., 2005; Oxbrough et al., 2010) birds and plants (Coote et al., 2012; Irwin et al., in press) and early successional forest species (Oxbrough et al., 2006). Furthermore, recent studies have shown that plantation forests can provide suitable habitat for organisms that are poorly adapted to the intensive agricultural landscapes that typify much of the Irish landscape (Gittings et al., 2006; Wilson et al., 2009). This body of research highlights the importance of landscape context when assessing the biodiversity value of forests, and of considering the contributions of highly modified forests as well as near-natural forests.

The second international IUFRO conference on Biodiversity in Forest Ecosystems and Landscapes was held at University College Cork, Ireland from 27th to 30th August 2012 (<http://www.ucc.ie/en/iufro2012/>). The aim of this conference series is to bring together researchers from across the globe to 'share knowledge, discuss new trends, reflect on future directions in biodiversity management for sustainable forestry, and provide a stronger scientific basis for biodiversity management in forest landscapes in the light of climate change'. Over 130 delegates from 33 countries attended the conference. Nine symposia were convened, spanning a diverse range of issues including the conservation of particular species groups, the use of long term data to examine forest biodiversity, the development of biodiversity indicators, and the impact of invasive alien species. The conference also included an excursion to some of Ireland's typical plantation forests, where delegates participated in talks and discussions on the maintenance and enhancement of biodiversity in these habitats. This was followed by a visit to internationally rare oak (*Quercus patraea* (Mattuschka) Liebl.) and yew woodlands (*Taxus baccata* L.) in Killarney National Park, Ireland, where presentations were made on the challenges posed to forest management by mammal grazing and *Rhododendron ponticum* L. invasion. This special issue presents a selection of papers from the conference that focus on mechanisms and predictors of ecological change in forested landscapes, across a range of spatial scales and taxonomic diversity.

2. Mechanisms of ecological change in forests

Observational research can reveal likely mechanisms for ecological change in forested landscapes, which can be tested and confirmed through experimental research. Nine manuscripts in this special issue examined mechanisms of ecological change from a range of perspectives and scales. Two manuscripts explored the impacts of forest policy and practice by using experimental manipulations. Sheehan et al. (this issue) experimentally investigated the impact of forest harvesting methods on forest birds, focussing on Cerulean warbler (*Setophaga cerulean*, Wilson), which is a species of conservation concern in North America. This study demonstrates that intensity of harvest plays an important role in determining the suitability of post-harvest habitats for Cerulean warblers and other bird species. By manipulating the number and type of trees left after harvesting, forest managers can tailor individual stands towards particular forest bird species, and ensure continued availability of habitat for all birds of early successional stages. Work et al. (this issue) examined the impact of post-harvest biomass removal on ground-dwelling spiders and beetles with the aim of setting thresholds for minimum residual deadwood to support biodiversity. The practice of biomass removal is common in Northern Europe and is being explored in North America, despite it being at odds with international criteria which promote deadwood retention in forests (Barbati et al., this issue). Their findings

showed that intensive removal of woody debris further modifies the ground spider and beetle assemblages in addition to that attributed to traditional felling.

Two papers examined the influence of forest stand type on biodiversity, with a focus on different assemblage groups. Barsoum et al. (this issue) examined the potential of mixed tree species stands to support a greater range of ground-dwelling spider and beetle diversity than monocultures in the context of highly managed plantation forests. Their findings show that mixed stands support similar assemblages to those in monocultures and suggest that, for the tree species examined, the inclusion of a secondary species in forest plantations does not enhance invertebrate diversity. Ódor et al. (this issue) reported on factors which influence the diversity of epiphytic lichens and bryophytes in forests. The authors found tree species to be a key driver of compositional changes at small scales and among stands. This highlights the importance of considering species traits in forest biodiversity research, as organisms which are more closely linked with tree species are more likely to be influenced by management. The multi-scale approach of this study acknowledges the importance of studying forest ecosystems across a range of scales, which have relevance to different organisms and processes (Lindenmayer et al., 2000).

Three of the papers used observational data to identify factors that influence species of conservation interest and assess how forest management can make appropriate use of this information. Devaney et al. (this issue) examined the relationship between spatial distribution and the natural regeneration of English yew (*Taxus baccata* L.), a species of conservation importance across Europe. They recommend that conservation efforts focus on natural regeneration at forest edges for this species. Roth et al. (this issue) examined the link between legacy tree retention and nest habitat quality for Golden-Winged Warblers (*Vermivora chrysoptera* L.). Male breeding density in young, aspen-dominated stands was influenced by the number, size and species composition of trees retained after harvesting of the preceding crop of commercially mature trees. The authors also demonstrate a useful relationship between male density and pairing success of individual males, strongly suggesting that the former can be used as an indicator of breeding success, and possibly habitat quality. Graham et al. (this issue) examined the influence of the level of afforestation and associated changes in hydrochemistry on brown trout (*Salmo trutta* L.) populations. They found that changes in hydrochemistry are associated with increasing levels of plantation forest cover across the landscape. They found no evidence of forestry-related acidification, and no consistent impact of afforestation upon brown trout growth or density. However, this research corroborates other recent findings which suggest that forestry could increase temperature in lakes, which in turn may negatively impact upon brown trout.

Two papers examined processes influencing biodiversity at larger scales, particularly highlighting the importance of connectivity across a landscape. Olson et al. (this issue) used an experimental approach to investigate the width of corridors required to support fish and amphibians and found that, over the medium term (10 year post-thinning), narrow buffers can support a similar number of species to those found in wider buffers. Ernst (this issue) tested a new metric aimed at describing the relationship between connectivity and movement of organisms through a landscape, that can be tailored to suit the dispersal abilities of different species. They study concluded that, under the range of variation modelled, the effects of fragmentation were poorly mitigated by organising reserves to form corridors, and that the compromising effect of forestry activity on connectivity is likely to be more severe in forest landscapes subject to frequent natural disturbances.

3. Predictors of ecological change in forests

Five of the papers presented at this conference examined predictors of ecological change, using long-term monitoring data, to forecast future trends, and to infer information about forests without the need to gather it directly Lindenmayer (1999). Both Zilliox and Gosselin (this issue) and Potter et al. (this issue) take an indicator approach to prediction. Zilliox and Gosselin (this issue) used tree species diversity, cover and basal area as a proxy to indicate diversity in the understory for some species groups, and highlight how these relationships can differ with ecological conditions, such as elevation, soil type or aspect. Potter et al. (this issue) examined the role of evolutionary diversity in determining patterns of tree biomass and consider such parameters as an indicator of ecosystem function, demonstrating species trait diversity, across a range of stand conditions.

Mitchell (this issue) and Newman et al. (this issue) used past trends across different time scales to highlight lessons for the future. Mitchell (this issue) examined woodland dynamics using paleoecological data and recommend that policy for conservation should take into account the dynamic nature of woodlands over long time periods. Newman et al. (this issue) investigated the influence of large herbivore exclusion on semi-natural woodland plant diversity over a 40 year period. They found increasing homogenisation of assemblages over time and suggested that herbivore management may be a preferable alternative to complete exclusion by fencing, if the objective is to maintain diverse plant communities. Finally, Barbati et al. (this issue) highlight the importance of utilising long-term data to enable current conditions to be compared with relevant baseline data, in order to elucidate temporal trends and enable more effective monitoring of biodiversity.

4. Conclusions

The conference brought together researchers from a wide range of disciplines to address some of the most important issues currently of relevance to forest biodiversity practice and policy. The selection of papers presented in this special issue highlights the importance of studies focussing on specific mechanisms, as well as the need for more expansive research, utilising larger and longer term datasets, to provide a sound basis for forest management and policy. The recommendations made by these studies apply across a range of scales and at different levels of taxonomic diversity, from the effects of variation between individual trees, to issues that apply to landscapes and regions, such as watershed management, connectivity and phylogenetic diversity. This highlights the need for a more concerted effort to link research across disciplines, and for meta-analyses to elucidate trends across taxonomic groups, regions and even biomes. This is no easy task, but the next conference in this series, scheduled to take place in 2016, may provide an opportunity to delve deeper into these areas.

There was a notable lack of research from tropical regions presented at the conference. This is surprising given the threats currently facing forests in tropical regions, and their importance to global biodiversity. This suggests that current models of funding for high level research on forest ecology and conservation are not very effective at stimulating study in some of the regions where it is most needed, and tropical forest research should be an international priority for forest science and its sponsors. Opportunities for future conferences in this series, to establish greater links between researchers operating in tropical regions and those working in temperate or boreal biomes should be fully exploited.

Acknowledgements

We thank all those involved in the conference planning including the local organising committee, Coillte Teoranta, Killarney National Park, the student helpers and auxiliary staff who together ensured a well-executed conference and enjoyable conference. We also extend our gratitude to members of the international scientific committee who together ensured a high standard of scientific excellence. Finally, we thank those who provided funding, without which the conference would not have been possible: Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine; Science Foundation Ireland; The Heritage Council; the Environmental Protection Agency; Fáilte Ireland.

References

- Barbati, A., Marchetti, M., Chirici, G., Corona, P., 2013. European forest types and forest europe SFM indicators: tools for monitoring progress on forest biodiversity conservation. *Forest Ecology and Management* (this issue).
- Barsoum, N., Fuller, L., Ashwood, F., Bonnet-Lebrun, L., Leung, F., 2013. Ground-dwelling spider (Araneae) and carabid beetle (Coleoptera: Carabidae) community assemblages in mixed and monoculture stands of oak (*Quercus robur* L./*Q. petraea* (Matt.) Liebl.) and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.). *Forest Ecology and Management* (this issue).
- Bremer, L.L., Farley, K.A., 2010. Does plantation forestry restore biodiversity or create green deserts? A synthesis of the effects of land-use transitions on plant species richness. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 19, 3893–3915.
- Coote, L., French, L.J., Moore, K.M., Mitchell, F.J.G., Kelly, D.L., 2012. Can plantation forests support plant species and communities of semi-natural woodland? *Forest Ecology and Management* 283, 86–95.
- Cross, J., 2012. Ireland's native woodlands: a summary based on the national survey of native woodlands. *Irish Forestry* 69, 73–95.
- Devaney, J., Jansen, M.A., Whelan, P.M., 2013. Spatial patterns of natural regeneration in stands of english yew (*Taxus baccata* L.); negative neighbourhood effects. *Forest Ecology and Management* (this issue).
- Ernst, B.W., 2013. Quantifying connectivity using graph based connectivity response curves in complex landscapes under simulated forest management scenarios. *Forest Ecology and Management* (this issue).
- Foley, J.A., DeFries, R., Asner, G.P., Barford, C., Bonan, G., Carpenter, S.R., Chapin, F.S., Coe, M.T., Daily, G.C., Gibbs, H.K., Helkowski, J.H., Holloway, T., Howard, E.A., Kucharik, C.J., Monfreda, C., Patz, J.A., Prentice, I.C., Ramankutty, N., Snyder, P.K., 2005. Global consequences of land use. *Science* 309, 570–574.
- Gittings, T., O'Halloran, J., Kelly, T., Giller, P.S., 2006. The contribution of open spaces to the maintenance of hoverfly (Diptera, Syrphidae) biodiversity in Irish plantation forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 237, 290–300.
- Graham, C.T., Drinan, T.J., O'Halloran, J., O'Halloran, J., 2013. Relationship between plantation forest and brown trout growth, energetics and population structure in peatland lakes in western Ireland. *Forest Ecology and Management* (this issue).
- Irwin, S., Kelly, D.L., Kelly, T.C., Mitchell, F.J.G., Coote, L., Oxbrough, A., Wilson, M.W., Martin, R., Moore, K., Sweeney, O., Dietzsch, A., O'Halloran, J. Do Irish forests provide habitat for species of conservation concern? *Biology and environment*. In: *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy* (in press).
- ITGA – Irish Timber Growers Association, 2012. *Forestry and Timber Yearbook*. Irish Timber Growers Association, Dublin.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Margules, C.R., Botkin, D.B., 2000. Indicators of biodiversity for ecologically sustainable forest management. *Conservation Biology* 14, 941–950.
- Lindenmayer, D., 1999. Future directions for biodiversity conservation in managed forests: indicator species, impact studies and monitoring programs. *Forest Ecology and Management* 115, 277–287.
- Mitchell, F., 2013. Long-term changes and drivers of biodiversity in Atlantic oakwoods. *Forest Ecology and Management* (this issue).
- Mullen, K., O'Halloran, J., Breen, J., Giller, P., Pithon, J., Kelly, T., 2008. Distribution and composition of carabid beetle (Coleoptera, Carabidae) communities across the plantation forest cycle – implications for management. *Forest Ecology and Management* 256, 624–632.
- Newman, M., Mitchell, F.J.G., Kelly, D.L., 2013. Exclusion of large herbivores: long-term changes within the plant community. *Forest Ecology and Management*.
- Ódor, P., Király, I., Tinya, F., Bortignon, F., Nascimbene, J., 2013. Patterns and drivers of species composition of epiphytic bryophytes and lichens in managed temperate forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* (this issue).
- Ojea, E., Nunes, P.A.L.D., Loureiro, M.L., 2010. Mapping biodiversity indicators and assessing biodiversity values in global forests. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 47, 329–347.
- Olson, D., Leirness, J.B., Cunningham, P.G., Steel, A., 2013. Riparian buffers and forest thinning: effects on headwater vertebrates 10 years after thinning. *Forest Ecology and Management* (this issue).
- Oxbrough, A., Gittings, T., O'Halloran, J., Giller, P.S., Kelly, T., 2006. The influence of open space on ground-dwelling spider assemblages within forest plantations. *Forest Ecology and Management* 237, 404–417.

- Oxbrough, A., Gittings, T., O'Halloran, J., Giller, P.S., Smith, G.F., 2005. Structural indicators of spider communities across the forest plantation cycle. *Forest Ecology and Management* 212, 171–183.
- Oxbrough, A., Irwin, S., Kelly, T.C., O'Halloran, J., 2010. Ground-dwelling invertebrates in reforested conifer plantations. *Forest Ecology and Management* 259, 2111–2121.
- Potter, K., Woodall, C.W., 2013. Does biodiversity make a difference? Relationships between species richness, evolutionary diversity, and aboveground live tree biomass across U.S. forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* (this issue).
- Quine, C.P., Humphrey, J.W., 2010. Plantations of exotic tree species in Britain: irrelevant for biodiversity or novel habitat for native species? *Biodiversity and Conservation* 19, 1503–1512.
- Roth, A., Flashpohler, D.J., Webster, C.R., 2013. Legacy tree retention in young aspen forest improves nesting habitat quality for Golden Winged Warbler (*Vermivora chrysoptera*). *Forest Ecology and Management* (this issue).
- Schaich, H., Milad, M., 2013. Forest biodiversity in a changing climate: which logic for conservation strategies? *Biodiversity and Conservation* 22, 1107–1114.
- Sheehan, J., Bohall Wood, P., Buehler, D.A., Keyser, P.D., Larkin, J.L., Rodewald, A.D., Wigley, T.B., Boves, T.J., George, G.A., Bakermans, M.H., Beachy, T.A., Evans, A., McDermott, M.E., Newell, F.L., Perkins, K.A., White, M., 2013. Avian response to timber harvesting applied experimentally to manage Cerulean Warbler breeding populations. *Forest Ecology and Management* (this issue).
- Thompson, I., Mackey, B., McNulty, S., Mosseler, A., 2009. Forest resilience, biodiversity, and climate change: a synthesis of the biodiversity/resilience/stability relationship in forest ecosystems. *Convention on Biological Diversity Technical* (43).
- Wilson, M., Irwin, S., Norris, D.W., Newton, S.F., Collins, K., Kelly, T.C., O'Halloran, J., 2009. The importance of pre-thicket conifer plantations for nesting Hen Harriers *Circus cyaneus* in Ireland. *Ibis* 151, 332–343.
- Winter, S., 2012. Forest naturalness assessment as a component of biodiversity monitoring and conservation management. *Forestry* 85, 293–304.
- Work, T.T., Brais, S., Harvey, B.D., 2013. Reductions in downed deadwood from biomass harvesting alter composition of spiders and ground beetle assemblages in jack-pine forests of Western Quebec. *Forest Ecology and Management* (this issue).
- Yoshikawa, M., Motoki, Y., Hibino, G., Takeuchi, K., Hanaki, K., Arai, S., Masui, T., Inoue, T., 2011. Global-scale quantitative assessment for biodiversity on forest land use: applying the Global No Net Loss approach. *Sustainability Science* 6, 169–175.
- Zilliox, C., Gosselin, F., 2013. Tree species diversity and abundance as indicators of understory diversity in French mountain forests: variations of the relationship in geographical and ecological space. *Forest Ecology and Management* (this issue).