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**Feedback**

Assessors are asked to consider the following aspects of the project in their comments, as deemed appropriate:

1. **Background/Rationale:** Is a coherent argument made for why this question is worth pursuing, and why a systematic review or meta-analysis is appropriate?
2. **Search Strategy & Selection Criteria:** Is the search strategy clear? Is it clear how the databases for primary searches have been selected? Is it clear how the search terms have been generated? Has there been engagement with a subject librarian? Are inclusion/exclusion criteria provided and explained?
3. **Quality Assessment Checklists & Procedures:** Are quality assessment checklists named and justified? Who will conduct the assessment?
4. **Data Extraction & Synthesis:** Is a data extraction tool provided? Is it clear whether the approach is systematic review or meta-analysis?
5. **Project Timetable:** Is there a clear plan for a step-by-step process to be completed by the deadline?
6. **Dissemination/Presentation:** Is the proposal clearly written? Is there a plan for dissemination of the findings?

***Assessor Decision***

Please circle ONE of the following decisions:

* + Unconditional Pass
  + Pass with minor amendments
  + Pass with major amendments
  + Not Passed – Revise and Resubmit / Additional or new work required

Assessor: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_