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**Feedback**

Assessors are asked to consider the following aspects of the project in their comments, as deemed appropriate:

1. **Background/Rationale:** Is a coherent argument made for why this question is worth pursuing, and why a systematic review or meta-analysis is appropriate?
2. **Search Strategy & Selection Criteria:** Is the search strategy clear? Is it clear how the databases for primary searches were selected? Is it clear how the search terms weregenerated? Was there engagement with a subject librarian? Are inclusion/exclusion criteria provided and explained? Is it clear how many papers were identified at each step in the process, and why papers were removed from the total? Was a second person involved in the process of paper selection?
3. **Data Extraction:** Is a data extraction tool provided? Is it appropriate?
4. **Quality Assessment Checklists & Procedures:** Is the quality assessment appropriate? Was a second person involved here?
5. **Data Synthesis:** Are the results presented in a coherent fashion. Is a good job done of critically examining the literature, and providing a good conclusion based on the evidence?
6. **Dissemination/Presentation:** Is the proposal clearly written? Is there a plan for dissemination of the findings?

Assessor: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_